skip navigation



Search Cornell Law School home LII / Legal Information Institute

- home
- search
- sitemap
- donate

American Legal Ethics Library

- main page
- about
- search



Topical Overview

I. CLIENT-LAWYER RELATIONSHIP

- 1.1 Rule 1.1 Competence
 - o 1.1:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - o 1.1:200 Disciplinary Standard of Competence

- Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
- Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
- Other: CA
- o 1.1:300 Malpractice Liability
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- o 1.1:400 Liability to Certain Non-Clients
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- o 1.1:500 Defenses and Exceptions to Liability
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
- o 1.1:600 Vicarious Liability
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- 1.2 Rule 1.2 Scope of Representation
 - o 1.2:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 1.2:200 Creating the Client-Lawyer Relationship
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: **CA**
 - o 1.2:300 Authority to Make Decisions or Act for Client
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: <u>OH</u>, <u>OR</u>, <u>NY</u>

- Other: CA
- o 1.2:400 Lawyer's Moral Autonomy
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: **CA**
- o 1.2:500 Limiting the Scope of Representation
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- o 1.2:600 Prohibited Assistance
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- o 1.2:700 Warning Client of Limitations on Representation
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
- o 1.2:800 Identifying to Whom a Lawyer Owes Duties
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: <u>OH</u>, <u>OR</u>, <u>NY</u>
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
- 1.3 Rule 1.3 Diligence
 - o 1.3:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: <u>OH</u>, <u>OR</u>, <u>NY</u>
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 1.3:200 Diligence and "Zeal"
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - o 1.3:300 Promptness
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,

- Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
- Other: CA
- 1.4 Rule 1.4 Communication
 - o 1.4:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - o 1.4:200 Duty to Communicate with Client
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - o 1.4:300 Duty to Consult with Client
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - o 1.4:400 Duty to Inform the Client of Settlement Offers
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
- 1.5 Rule 1.5 Fees
 - o 1.5:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: <u>OH</u>, <u>OR</u>, <u>NY</u>
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 1.5:200 A Lawyer's Claim to Compensation
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - o 1.5:300 Attorney-Fee Awards (Fee Shifting)
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY

- Other: CA
- o 1.5:400 Reasonableness of a Fee Agreement
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: **CA**
- o 1.5:500 Communication Regarding Fees
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- o 1.5:600 Contingent Fees
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- o 1.5:700 Unlawful Fees
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR, AZ, CO, CT, DC, FL, IL, KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
- o 1.5:800 Fee Splitting (Referral Fees)
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: <u>OH</u>, <u>OR</u>, <u>NY</u>
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
- 1.6 Rule 1.6 Confidentiality of Information
 - o 1.6:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: <u>OH</u>, <u>OR</u>, <u>NY</u>
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - 1.6:200 Professional Duty of Confidentiality
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: **CA**
 - o 1.6:300 Exceptions to Duty of Confidentiality--In General
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,

- Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
- Other: CA
- o 1.6:400 Attorney-Client Privilege
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- o 1.6:500 Waiver of Attorney-Client Privilege
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- o 1.6:600 Exceptions to Attorney-Client Privilege
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
- o 1.6:700 Lawyer Work-Product Immunity
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
- 1.7 Rule 1.7 Conflict of Interest: General Rule
 - o 1.7:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 1.7:200 Conflicts of Interest in General
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 1.7:300 Conflict of Interest Among Current Clients (Concurrent Conflicts)
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: <u>OH</u>, <u>OR</u>, <u>NY</u>
 - Other: CA

- o 1.7:400 Conflict of Interest Between Current Client and Third-Party Payor
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: **CA**
- o 1.7:500 Conflict of Interest Between Current Client and Lawyer's Interest
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- 1.8 Rule 1.8 Conflict of Interest: Prohibited Transactions
 - o 1.8:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - o 1.8:200 Lawyer's Personal Interest Affecting Relationship
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: **CA**
 - o 1.8:300 Lawyer's Use of Client Information
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 1.8:400 Client Gifts to Lawyer
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: <u>OH</u>, <u>OR</u>, <u>NY</u>
 - Other: CA
 - o 1.8:500 Literary or Media Rights Relating to Representation
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - o 1.8:600 Financing Litigation
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX

- Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
- Other: CA
- o 1.8:700 Payment of Lawyer's Fee by Third Person
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: **CA**
- o 1.8:800 Aggregate Settlements
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- 1.8:900 Agreements Involving Lawyer's Malpractice Liability
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- o 1.8:1000 Opposing a Lawyer Relative
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
- o 1.8:1100 Lawyer's Proprietary Interest in Subject Matter of Representation
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: <u>OH</u>, <u>OR</u>, <u>NY</u>
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
- 1.9 Rule 1.9 Conflict of Interest: Former Client
 - o 1.9:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: <u>OH</u>, <u>OR</u>, <u>NY</u>
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 1.9:200 Representation Adverse to Interest of Former Client--In General
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: **CA**
 - o 1.9:300 Client of Lawyer's Former Firm

- Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
- Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
- Other: <u>CA</u>
- o 1.9:400 Use or Disclosure of Former Client's Confidences
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- 1.10 Rule 1.10 Imputed Disqualification: General Rule
 - o 1.10:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - o 1.10:200 Imputed Disqualification Among Current Affiliated Lawyers
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, KY, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 1.10:300 Removing Imputation by Screening
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 1.10:400 Disqualification of Firm After Disqualified Lawyer Departs
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 1.10:500 Client Consent
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: <u>OH</u>, <u>OR</u>, <u>NY</u>
 - Other: CA
- 1.11 Rule 1.11 Successive Government and Private Employment
 - o 1.11:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>

- Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
- Other: CA
- o 1.11:200 Representation of Another Client by Former Government Lawyer
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- o 1.11:300 Use of Confidential Government Information
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- o 1.11:400 Government Lawyer Participation in Matters Related to Prior Representation
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- o 1.11:500 Government Lawyer Negotiating for Private Employment
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
- 1.12 Rule 1.12 Former Judge or Arbitrator
 - o 1.12:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 1.12:200 Former Judge or Arbitrator Representing Client in Same Matter
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: <u>OH</u>, <u>OR</u>, <u>NY</u>
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 1.12:300 Negotiating for Future Employment
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: **CA**
 - 1.12:400 Screening to Prevent Imputed Disqualification

- Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
- Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
- Other: CA
- o 1.12:500 Partisan Arbitrators Selected by Parties to Dispute
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- 1.13 Rule 1.13 Organization as Client
 - o 1.13:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - o 1.13:200 Entity as Client
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 1.13:300 Preventing Injury to an Entity Client
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 1.13:400 Fairness to Non-Client Constituents Within an Entity Client
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 1.13:500 Joint Representation of Entity and Individual Constituents
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- 1.14 Rule 1.14 Client Under a Disability
 - o 1.14:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX

- Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
- Other: CA
- o 1.14:200 Problems in Representing a Partially or Severely Disabled Client
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
- o 1.14:300 Maintaining Client-Lawyer Relationship with Disabled Client
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- o 1.14:400 Appointment of Guardian or Other Protective Action
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- 1.15 Rule 1.15 Safekeeping Property
 - o 1.15:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - 1.15:200 Safeguarding and Safekeeping Property
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 1.15:300 Holding Money as a Fiduciary for the Benefit of Clients or Third Parties
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 1.15:400 Dispute Over Lawyer's Entitlement to Funds Held in Trust
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
- 1.16 Rule 1.16 Declining or Terminating Representation
 - o 1.16:100 Comparative Analysis

- Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
- Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
- Other: CA
- o 1.16:200 Mandatory Withdrawal
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- o 1.16:300 Permissive Withdrawal
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
- o 1.16:400 Order by Tribunal to Continue Representation
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- o 1.16:500 Mitigating Harm to Client Upon Withdrawal
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: <u>OH</u>, <u>OR</u>, <u>NY</u>
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
- o 1.16:600 Fees on Termination
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
- 1.17 Rule 1.17 Sale of Law Practice
 - o 1.17:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: <u>OH</u>, <u>OR</u>, <u>NY</u>
 - Other: CA
 - o 1.17:200 Traditional Rule Against the Sale of a Law Practice
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY

- Other: CA
- o 1.17:300 Problems in Sale of Practice
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- 1.18 Rule 1.18 Duties to Prospective Clients
 - o 1.18:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - o 1.18:200 Definition of "Prospective Client"
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - o 1.18:300 Confidentiality of Communications with a Prospective Client
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - o 1.18:400 Conflicts of Interest Arising Out of Communications with a Prospective Client
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>

II. COUNSELOR

- 2.1 Rule 2.1 Advisor
 - 2.1:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 2.1:200 Exercise of Independent Judgment
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY

- Other: CA
- o 2.1:300 Non-Legal Factors in Giving Advice
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- 2.2 Rule 2.2 Intermediary
 - 2.2:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - o 2.2:200 Relationship of Intermediation to Joint Representation
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - o 2.2:300 Preconditions to Becoming an Intermediary
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 2.2:400 Communication During Intermediation
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: <u>OH</u>, <u>OR</u>, <u>NY</u>
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 2.2:500 Consequences of a Failed Intermediation
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- 2.3 Rule 2.3 Evaluation for Use by Third Persons
 - o 2.3:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: <u>OH</u>, <u>OR</u>, <u>NY</u>
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 2.3:200 Undertaking an Evaluation for a Client

- Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
- Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
- Other: CA
- 2.3:300 Duty to Third Persons Who Rely on Lawyer's Opinion
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- o 2.3:400 Confidentiality of an Evaluation
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- 2.4 Rule 2.4 Lawyer Serving as a Third-Party Neutral
 - o 2.4:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 2.4:200 Definition of "Third-Party Neutral"
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: <u>OH</u>, <u>OR</u>, <u>NY</u>
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - 2.4:300 Duty to Inform Parties of Nature of Lawyer's Role
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>

III. ADVOCATE

- 3.1 Rule 3.1 Meritorious Claims and Contentions
 - 3.1:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 3.1:200 Non-Meritorious Assertions in Litigation

- Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
- Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
- Other: **CA**
- o 3.1:300 Judicial Sanctions for Abusive Litigation Practice (Especially Rule 11)
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- o 3.1:400 Civil Liability for Abusive Litigation Practice
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- o 3.1:500 Complying with Law and Tribunal Rulings
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- 3.2 Rule 3.2 Expediting Litigation
 - o 3.2:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - o 3.2:200 Dilatory Tactics
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 3.2:300 Judicial Sanctions for Dilatory Tactics
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: <u>OH</u>, <u>OR</u>, <u>NY</u>
 - Other: CA
- 3.3 Rule 3.3 Candor Toward the Tribunal
 - o 3.3:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>

- Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
- Other: **CA**
- o 3.3:200 False Statements to a Tribunal
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: **CA**
- o 3.3:300 Disclosure to Avoid Assisting Client Crime or Fraud
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- o 3.3:400 Disclosing Adverse Legal Authority
- o 3.3:500 Offering False Evidence
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: **CA**
- o 3.3:600 Remedial Measures Necessary to Correct False Evidence
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- o 3.3:700 Discretion to Withhold Evidence Believed to Be False
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- o 3.3:800 Duty of Disclosure in Ex Parte Proceedings
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: <u>OH</u>, <u>OR</u>, <u>NY</u>
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
- 3.4 Rule 3.4 Fairness to Opposing Party and Counsel
 - o 3.4:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: <u>OH</u>, <u>OR</u>, <u>NY</u>
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 3.4:200 Unlawful Destruction and Concealment of Evidence

- Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
- Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
- Other: CA
- o 3.4:300 Falsifying Evidence
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- o 3.4:400 Knowing Disobedience to Rules of Tribunal
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- o 3.4:500 Fairness in Pretrial Practice
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- o 3.4:600 Improper Trial Tactics
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: <u>OH</u>, <u>OR</u>, <u>NY</u>
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
- 3.4:700 Advising Witness Not to Speak to Opposing Parties
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- 3.5 Rule 3.5 Impartiality and Decorum of the Tribunal
 - o 3.5:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - o 3.5:200 Improperly Influencing a Judge, Juror, or Other Court Official
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY

- Other: **CA**
- o 3.5:300 Improper Ex Parte Communication
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- o 3.5:400 Intentional Disruption of a Tribunal
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- 3.6 Rule 3.6 Trial Publicity
 - o 3.6:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - o 3.6:200 Improper Extrajudicial Statements
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - o 3.6:300 Permissible Statements
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 3.6:400 Responding to Adverse Publicity
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: <u>OH</u>, <u>OR</u>, <u>NY</u>
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
- 3.7 Rule 3.7 Lawyer as Witness
 - o 3.7:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 3.7:200 Prohibition of Advocate as Witness
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: AR, AZ, CO, CT, DC, FL, IL,

- Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
- Other: CA
- o 3.7:300 An Affiliated Lawyer as Advocate (Imputed Disqualification)
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- 3.8 Rule 3.8 Special Responsibilities of a Prosecutor
 - 3.8:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: **CA**
 - o 3.8:200 The Decision to Charge
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 3.8:300 Efforts to Assure Accused's Right to Counsel
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 3.8:400 Seeking Waivers of Rights from Unrepresented Defendants
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: <u>OH</u>, <u>OR</u>, <u>NY</u>
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 3.8:500 Disclosing Evidence Favorable to the Accused
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: <u>OH</u>, <u>OR</u>, <u>NY</u>
 - Other: CA
 - o 3.8:600 Monitoring Extrajudicial Statements by Law Enforcement Officials
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: **CA**

- o 3.8:700 Issuing a Subpoena to a Lawyer
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- o 3.8:800 Making Extrajudicial Statements
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- o 3.8:900 Peremptory Strikes of Jurors
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- 3.9 Rule 3.9 Advocate in Nonadjudicative Proceedings
 - o 3.9:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - o 3.9:200 Duties of Advocate in Nonadjudicative Proceedings
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>

IV. TRANSACTIONS WITH PERSONS OTHER THAN CLIENTS

- 4.1 Rule 4.1 Truthfulness in Statements to Others
 - o 4.1:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: <u>OH</u>, <u>OR</u>, <u>NY</u>
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 4.1:200 Truthfulness in Out-of-Court Statements
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: **CA**

- 4.1:300 Disclosures to Avoid Assisting Client Fraud
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- 4.2 Rule 4.2 Communication with Person Represented by Counsel
 - o 4.2:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - o 4.2:200 Communication with a Represented Person
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- 4.3 Rule 4.3 Dealing with Unrepresented Person
 - 4.3:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - o 4.3:200 Dealing with Unrepresented Person
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
- 4.4 Rule 4.4 Respect for Rights of Third Persons
 - 4.4:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: **CA**
 - 4.4:200 Disregard of Rights or Interests of Third Persons
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>

V. LAW FIRMS AND ASSOCIATIONS

- 5.1 Rule 5.1 Responsibilities of a Partner and Supervisory Lawyer
 - o 5.1:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - 5.1:200 Duty of Partners to Monitor Compliance with Professional Rules
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - o 5.1:300 Monitoring Duty of Supervising Lawyer
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - o 5.1:400 Failing to Rectify the Misconduct of a Subordinate Lawyer
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: **CA**
 - o 5.1:500 Vicarious Liability of Partners
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- 5.2 Rule 5.2 Responsibilities of a Subordinate Lawyer
 - 5.2:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 5.2:200 Independent Responsibility of a Subordinate Lawyer
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: <u>OH</u>, <u>OR</u>, <u>NY</u>
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 5.2:300 Reliance on a Supervisor's Resolution of Arguable Ethical Issues

- Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
- Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
- Other: CA
- 5.3 Rule 5.3 Responsibilities Regarding Nonlawyer Assistants
 - 5.3:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR, AZ, CO, CT, DC, FL, IL, KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - o 5.3:200 Duty to Establish Safeguards
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - o 5.3:300 Duty to Control Nonlawyer Assistants
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - 5.3:400 Responsibility for Misconduct of Nonlawyer Assistants
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
- 5.4 Rule 5.4 Professional Independence of a Lawyer [Restrictions on Form of Practice]
 - o 5.4:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: <u>OH</u>, <u>OR</u>, <u>NY</u>
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 5.4:200 Sharing Fees with a Nonlawyer
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - 5.4:300 Forming a Partnership with Nonlawyers
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX

- Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
- Other: CA
- o 5.4:400 Third Party Interference with a Lawyer's Professional Judgment
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- 5.4:500 Nonlawyer Ownership in or Control of Profit-Making Legal Service Organizations
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- 5.5 Rule 5.5 Unauthorized Practice of Law
 - 5.5:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 5.5:200 Engaging in Unauthorized Practice
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 5.5:300 Assisting in the Unauthorized Practice of Law
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
- 5.6 Rule 5.6 Restrictions on Right to Practice
 - o 5.6:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: <u>OH</u>, <u>OR</u>, <u>NY</u>
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 5.6:200 Restrictions on Lawyers Leaving a Firm
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: **CA**

- o 5.6:300 Settlements Restricting a Lawyer's Future Practice
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- 5.7 Rule 5.7 Responsibilities Regarding Law-Related Services
 - o 5.7:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - 5.7:200 Applicability of Ethics Rules to Ancillary Business Activities
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA

VI. PUBLIC SERVICE

- 6.1 Rule 6.1 Pro Bono Public Service
 - o 6.1:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 6.1:200 Lawyer's Moral Obligation to Engage in Public Interest Legal Service
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: <u>OH</u>, <u>OR</u>, <u>NY</u>
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
- 6.2 Rule 6.2 Accepting Appointments
 - o 6.2:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 6.2:200 Duty to Accept Court Appointments Except for Good Cause
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: <u>OH</u>, <u>OR</u>, <u>NY</u>

- Other: CA
- 6.3 Rule 6.3 Membership in Legal Services Organization
 - o 6.3:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - o 6.3:200 Conflicts of Interest of Lawyers Participating in a Legal Services Organization
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- 6.4 Rule 6.4 Law Reform Activities Affecting Client Interests
 - o 6.4:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - o 6.4:200 Conflicts of Interest of Lawyers Participating in Law Reform Organizations
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: <u>OH</u>, <u>OR</u>, <u>NY</u>
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
- 6.5 Rule 6.5 Nonprofit and Court-Annexed Limited Legal Service Programs
 - o 6.5:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - o 6.5:200 Scope of Rule
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - o 6.5:300 Special Conflict of Interest Rule
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: **CA**

VII. INFORMATION ABOUT LEGAL SERVICES

- 7.1 Rule 7.1 Communications Concerning a Lawyer's Services
 - o 7.1:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - o 7.1:200 Lawyer Advertising--In General
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- 7.2 Rule 7.2 Advertising
 - o 7.2:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 7.2:200 Permissible Forms of Lawyer Advertising
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: <u>OH</u>, <u>OR</u>, <u>NY</u>
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 7.2:300 Retaining Copy of Advertising Material
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - 7.2:400 Paying to Have Services Recommended
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: **CA**
 - o 7.2:500 Identification of a Responsible Lawyer
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA

- 7.3 Rule 7.3 Direct Contact with Prospective Client
 - o 7.3:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: **CA**
 - o 7.3:200 Prohibition of For-Profit In-Person Solicitation
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - o 7.3:300 Regulation of Written and Recorded Solicitation
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - o 7.3:400 Disclaimers for Written and Recorded Solicitation
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - 7.3:500 Solicitation by Prepaid and Group Legal Services Plans
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
- 7.4 Rule 7.4 Communication of Fields of Practice
 - o 7.4:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - $_{\circ}~7.4:200$ Regulation of Claims of Certification and Specialization
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
- 7.5 Rule 7.5 Firm Names and Letterheads
 - o 7.5:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,

- Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
- Other: CA
- o 7.5:200 Firm Names and Trade Names
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- o 7.5:300 Law Firms with Offices in More Than One Jurisdiction
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- o 7.5:400 Use of the Name of a Public Official
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
- o 7.5:500 Misleading Designation as Partnership, etc.
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>

VIII. MAINTAINING THE INTEGRITY OF THE PROFESSION

- 8.1 Rule 8.1 Bar Admission and Disciplinary Matters
 - o 8.1:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 8.1:200 Bar Admission
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - 8.1:300 False Statements of Material Fact in Connection with Admission or Discipline
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR, AZ, CO, CT, DC, FL, IL</u>,

- Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
- Other: CA
- o 8.1:400 Duty to Volunteer Information to Correct a Misapprehension
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- o 8.1:500 Application of Rule 8.1 to Reinstatement Proceedings
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- 8.2 Rule 8.2 Judicial and Legal Officials
 - 8.2:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 8.2:200 False Statements About Judges or Other Legal Officials
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: <u>OH</u>, <u>OR</u>, <u>NY</u>
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 8.2:300 Lawyer Candidates for Judicial Office
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- 8.3 Rule 8.3 Reporting Professional Misconduct
 - 8.3:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - o 8.3:200 Mandatory Duty to Report Serious Misconduct
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY

- Other: CA
- o 8.3:300 Reporting the Serious Misconduct of a Judge
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: **CA**
- o 8.3:400 Exception Protecting Confidential Information
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
- 8.4 Rule 8.4 Misconduct
 - o 8.4:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - o 8.4:200 Violation of a Rule of Professional Conduct
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - o 8.4:300 Commission of a Crime
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - o 8.4:400 Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit and Misrepresentation
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: <u>OH</u>, <u>OR</u>, <u>NY</u>
 - Other: CA
 - 8.4:500 Conduct Prejudicial to the Administration of Justice
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
 - o 8.4:600 Implying Ability to Influence Public Officials
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,

- Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
- Other: CA
- o 8.4:700 Assisting Judge or Official in Violation of Duty
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- o 8.4:800 Discrimination in the Practice of Law
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: CA
- 8.4:900 Threatening Prosecution
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>,
 KY, LA, MD, MI, NJ, NM, OH, PA, RI, SC, TX
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
- 8.5 Rule 8.5 Disciplinary Authority; Choice of Law
 - o 8.5:100 Comparative Analysis
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: <u>OH</u>, <u>OR</u>, <u>NY</u>
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - 8.5:200 Disciplinary Authority
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
 - o 8.5:300 Choice of Law
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Rules: <u>AR</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>CO</u>, <u>CT</u>, <u>DC</u>, <u>FL</u>, <u>IL</u>, <u>KY</u>, <u>LA</u>, <u>MD</u>, <u>MI</u>, <u>NJ</u>, <u>NM</u>, <u>OH</u>, <u>PA</u>, <u>RI</u>, <u>SC</u>, <u>TX</u>
 - Jurisdictions with Rules Based on Model Code: OH, OR, NY
 - Other: <u>CA</u>
- about us
- help
- © copyright

